MICHAELBEST &FRIEDRICH LLC Attorners at Law

401 N. Michigan Avenue Suite 1900 Chicago, Illinois 60611-4274 Telephone (312) 661-2100 FAX (312) 661-0029

Writer's Direct Line: 312-661-2135 E-mail: jfschmidt@mbf-law.com Offices in: Chicago, Illinois Milwaukee, Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin Manitowoc, Wisconsin Lehigh Valley, Pennsylvania

May 30, 2003

## VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Cheryl S. Goodman, Esq.
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
2900 Crystal Drive
Arlington, Virginia 22202-3514



06-02-2003

U.S. Patent & TMOfc/TM Mail Ropt Dt. #39

Re: Pucel Enterprises, Inc. v. Grizzly Industrial, Inc.

Consolidated Opposition No. 123,506; Cancellation Nos. 31, 984; 32,024; and 32,025

Dear Ms. Goodman:

This is to reply to opposer's response of May 23, 2003 (Exhibit A), to the Board's Order dated May 6, 2003, requesting that the parties advise the Board of the resolution of any issues with respect to the motion to compel. Contrary to opposer's statements, the issues have not been resolved.

Opposer contends that any shortcomings in its responses to applicant's interrogatories and document requests "could have been investigated in the deposition of Pucel (Opposer)". The Federal Rules provide that each interrogatory shall be answered separately and <u>fully</u> in writing (FRCP 33(b)(1)). The Rules do not allow a party to unilaterally shift the burden of fully responding to an interrogatory to the proponent by requiring the proponent to seek full answers at a deposition.

Moreover, opposer's claim that at the deposition of Pucel "no question went unanswered" is not true. For example,

With respect to alleged instances of actual confusion, Bob Mlakar, one of the two 30(b)(6) witnesses, testified that Pucel sends responses to "confusion" letters, but he didn't know who at Pucel sent any such responses, he didn't know if copies of the responses were kept, and Pucel's attorney stated that "Tony Mlakar (the other 30(b)(6) witness) may be able to handle these actual confusion type questions better" (R. Mlakar Dep., pp. 26-27). When Anthony Mlakar was asked about whether he was involved in alleged confusion calls, he responded "No." (A. Mlakar Dep., pp. 15-16).



May 30, 2003 Page 2

When asked about printed publications that Pucel has advertised in, Pucel's 30(b)(6) witness was not able to fully respond, and admitted he was not aware of all publications in which Pucel advertises:

- Q. Looking at that list, can you think of any printed publication that Pucel has advertised in other than what's listed here?
- A. I don't specifically sign the contracts so I don't know all of them. If they are not here, I would not be aware of it. (B. Mlakar Dep., p. 108)

When asked questions about where Pucel's products are sold, the witness could not fully answer:

- Q. So your products are shown in retail showrooms?
- A. Some of them are, yes.
- Q. Which showrooms?
- A. I don't know all of them. (R. Mlakar Dep., p. 76)

Excerpts from the deposition transcripts are attached as Exhibit B. It is quite obvious that Pucel could not answer all questions posed in the 30(b)(6) deposition, and did not.



May 30, 2003 Page 3

Opposer's positions as expressed in its letter of May 23, 2003, provide further support for applicant's motion to compel, i.e., there are unresolved issues so the motion is <u>not</u> moot. For the reasons set forth herein and in applicant's motion, applicant requests that its motion to compel be granted.

Joseph F. Schmidt

Lisa C. Childs

MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLC

401 N. Michigan Avenue, Ste. 1700

Chicago, IL 60611-4212

(312) 661-2100

Attorneys for Applicant

GRIZZLY INDUSTRIAL, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Via Federal Express in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner of Trademarks, 2900 Crystal Drive, Box TTAB NO FEE, Arlington, VA 22202

Date ...

Teresa L. Rivera



May 30, 2003 Page 4

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Letter Addressed to the

Trademark Trial and Appeal Board was served on defendant's counsel at the following address:

Kenneth L. Mitchell, Esq. Woodling, Krost and Rust Kirtland Office Complex 9213 Chillicothe Road State Route 306 Kirtland, Ohio 44094

via first class mail, postage prepaid, this 30<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2003.

Attorney for Applicant

Woodling, Krost and Rust

Attorneys and Counselors at Law

KIRTLAND OFFICE COMPLEX
9213 CHILLICOTHE ROAD
(STATE ROUTE 306)

Kirtland. Ohio 44094

(TOLL FREE PHONE) (866) 241-4150 PATENTS TRADEMARKS COPYRIGHTS PATENT CAUSES

06-02-2003

U.S. Patent & TMOfc/TM Mail Rept Dt. #39

Cheryl S. Goodman, Esq. Trademark Trial and Appeal Board United States Patent and Trademark Office 2900 Crystal Drive

Arlington, Virginia 22202-3513

VIA Fax, Confirmation By Mail 703-308-9333

17

Re: Pucel Enterprises, Inc. v. Grizzly Industrial, Inc.; Consolidated Opposition No. 123,506; Cancellation Nos. 31,984; 32,024; and 32,025.

Dear Ms. Goodman:

May 23, 2003

This is response to the Order dated May 6, 2003.

As stated in my April 29, 2003 letter the Fed. R. Civ. P., Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of Pucel Enterprises, Inc., manufacturer of Grizzly Equipment, was taken March 18, 2003. Two witnesses were produced and were available to answer any questions about documents or interrogatories which the Applicant/Respondent may have had.

Although Grizzly Industrial's counsel has represented in their May 16, 2003 letter that none of the issues have been resolved, it is through no fault of Pucel Enterprises, manufacturer of Grizzly Equipment. Any alleged shortcomings in the interrogatories and/or document requests could have been investigated in the deposition of Pucel Enterprises, Inc., manufacturer of Grizzly Equipment. No document has been withheld, no question went unanswered at deposition.

All documents referred to in footnote 1 of Pucel's Brief in Opposition to the motion to compel have been copied and forwarded to Grizzly Industrial's counsel.

As Pucel sees it there are no unresolved issues in regard to the documents because no documents have been withheld and/or which have not been made available for inspection as they are kept in the ordinary and regular course of business. Further, in regard to the interrogatories, they have already been answered fully and any alleged shortcomings could and should have been investigated in the deposition of Pucel

2

TOLL FREE FACSIMILE

(866) 241-4043

E-MAIL

CLEVEPAT@AOL.COM

Woodling, Krost and Rust Enterprises, Inc., manufacturer of Grizzly Equipment.

If you have any questions, please call.

Very Truly Yours,

Woodling, Krost and Rust

Kenneth L. Mitchell
Kenneth L. Mitchell

Enc.

C: Mr. Joseph Schmidt, By Fax, 312-222-0818, Confirmation by mail KLM/krm

Pow	March 18, 2003
(i) A: When?	Page 29
[2] • Q: Did you personally see Exhibit R-7 before I	[1] catalog and a price list and that's what they did and
[3] snowed you today?	[2] It was sent on 10-18-2000.
A: I've seen items like this over a period of	[3] Q: So at the bottom it's under new customer, how
[5] years, Specifically I'm sure that I have, but I don't	<sup>[4]</sup> and you near about us?
[6] look at the detail of it.	A: Well, typically when someone asks for a catalog,
Q: Do you know if there was any response to this?	we try to see now our marketing — you know some of
[8] A: I don't know.	if the areas, so what we do is we have them fill this in
[9] <b>Q</b> : Do you know who would handle that?	[8] It's something we developed a number of years ago.
[10] A: Typically if someone in the office would receive	(9) U: Do you know if this was a phone request?
[11] It, as a courtesy, we would fax it back and say that	[10] A: Yes, it was a phone.
[12] you're requesting — you're confused with the company	[11]
[13] this should not have been sent to us.	[12] (Exhibit Mlakar R-9 was
[14] Q: Have you ever seen or signed any such letters?	[13] marked for identification.)
[15] A: No.	[14]
[16] Q: Who signs those letters?	Q: Can you identify R-9? It's document number 005.
[17] A: Someone in the office might just send it by fax.	[16] A: I thought it was mine at first. It's not mine
[18] They might not even sign it. They might just write	[17] I can tell by the model number. It's something from
[19] across that it's not ours.	[18] looks like, the Internet of a two-shelf cart
[20] Q: Do you know if you keep copies?	[19] Q: There's Jim on here, it says "Jim, this is the
A: Some of them obviously like this. I'm sure they	[20] cart we spoke about. Can you come up with anything?"
wouldn't have kept all of them.	A: Jim is one of the people in our office They're
Q: Do you know if you keep copies of the responses?	[22] probably talking about a Grizzly cart came to Grizzly
[24] A: That I'm not sure.	[23] Equipment, Grizzly, and they didn't know what he was
MR. SCHMIDT: Ken, do you know if	[24] talking about, or she, it looks like Melissa, and so
	les ne probably said give me more information and so she
Page 2 you've produced any responses? There's a	7
[2] number of these documents with no	Page 29 [1] faxed it over and said take a look at it.
[3] responses.	[2]
MR. MITCHELL: No. I don't think	(Exhibit Mlakar R-10 was
s we have produced any responses.	[4] marked for identification.)
G: Can you look in your files and determine the	[5]
7) type of documents from Supply Depot that you think was	[6] Q: You're looking at what's been marked as Exhibit
misdirected to Puce!?	77 R-10. Can you identify it?
A: Yes.	[8] A: Yes.
MR. MITCHELL: Tony Mlakar may be	[9] <b>Q</b> : What is it?
able to handle these actual confusion type	A: It looks like a request for a quote from one of
questions better.	our distributors who faxed us for equipment that was
3]	not ours. She was obviously confused and sent it to
(Exhibit Mlakar R-8 was	[13] us instead of Grizzly Industrial because we're
marked for identification.)	[14] referred to as Grizzly with them.
6)	[15] Q: Who is FindMRO.com?
Q: R-8 is document number 004. Can you identify	[16] A: It's actually a division that was Granger
R-8, Mr. Mlakar?	[17] Company.
A: Yes.	[18] Q: Did Pucel do business with Granger?
Q: And what is it?	[19] A: Yes.
A: It's one of our catalog request forms that	[20] Q: Does Pucel do business with FindMRO?
someone in the office had filled out and it was	A: It's the same company. It was a division.
obvious that they were looking for Grizzly Industrial,	[22] Q: Who is Matt Hauck, H-A-U-C-K?
but one of the people — what they did ame if we have	[23] A: I don't know who it is personally. Must have
interested in material handling, we'll send you a	124] been someone in purchasing.

[25] interested in material handling, we'll send you a

Q: How long has Pucel done business with Granger?

Page 14

A: I'd say in those early years, yes. I think it

2 was somewhere around \$20,000 a year that we were —

13] that their costs — same goods, but same goods were

[4] advertised, same classifications, the catalog,

[5] complete catalog was in place. So the prices were

[6] less in those years, the cost of advertising.

Q: And then —

A: So I would say no more than 20 in those early [8]

Q: Would a good part of the remainder be for [10]

[11] catalogs that you had printed up?

A: There were catalogs, we did trade advertising,

[13] display advertising.

Q: What kind of trade advertising? [14]

A: In some of the publications, similar to that one [15]

[16] that you showed — Metal Working.

Q: But not Metal Working? [17]

A: No. I don't remember ever advertising in there. [18]

Q: The notice of deposition — is that it? [19]

[20]

Q: That's Exhibit R-1.

A: R-1. Okay. Yes, I see they're listed.

Q: Now you're looking at what page there? [23]

A: 3. [24]

Q: Page 3 under point number 15. Does this [25]

Page 15

[1] represent the extent of your printed publication trade [2] advertising from '83 through 2001?

A: It includes those. To the best of our knowledge [4] when we prepared this, those were the ads — or the [5] advertising that we did of those various publications

[6] or directories.

Q: These were all listed in your records?

[8]

Q: And that's what you found?

A: Yes. That's what we found. [10]

Q: You didn't find anything else? [11]

[12]

Q: Are you aware of any instances where anyone

[14] purchased a product of Grizzly Industrial instead of

[15] purchasing a Pucel product?

A: No. There would be no way of knowing.

Q: Are you aware of any instances where anyone [17]

[18] called or wrote to Pucel thinking that Pucel was

[19] Grizzly Industrial?

A: Yes. [20]

Q: How many instances did that happen? [21]

A: Well, I heard the question you asked and it [22]

[23] would be very difficult because we didn't accumulate

[24] those as we have in this past couple of years. They

psj were coming in on a steady basis, but I couldn't even

[1] say one a month or what, but we -

Q: For how long has that been happening?

A: More so in the last five, six years that we've

[4] known about it,

Q: Could you describe some of them to me? Is it a

[6] phone call, a letter?

A: Some were faxed to us, a lot of calls. More of

[8] them would probably have been telephone calls.

Q: Were you involved in any of those phone calls?

A: No. I was just recently, just recently. [10]

[11] Q: What happened?

A: It was after five o'clock, I answered the phone, [12]

[13] someone asked for a particular product, "Are you the

[14] Grizzly?" And I says "No, I'm not." They said,

[15] "Well, we're looking for this" — well, they started

out saying they were looking for a saw, vacuum or dust

[17] collector or something, I don't remember what it was,

[18] and I just said, "No." I said, "We don't make that [19] and the company is using — that's our trademark" — I

[20] think I said "That's our trademark and they're using

[21] the same name." And I said, "I don't have the number

[22] handy, but" — they said "That's all right. We know

who the other company is."

So they apparently chose to choose us first to

[25] find out who's handling this product. So that was

Page 17

Page 16

(1) about two weeks ago and that's the only call that I

2 got myself fairly recently. I'm usually told about

131 these things after the fact, you know.

Q: Apparently in all instances, but that one where

[5] you answered the phone, you found out after the fact?

A: That one made my day when I left at 10 after

7 5:00. I should have left at five o'clock.

Q: Is it fair to say that until your web site went

[9] up, that Pucel's customer were industrial users?

A: You know, industrial is a broad term.

[11] Industrial usually indicates factories, and maybe back

[12] in the '50s factory use, you know, for heavy carts and

[13] that, but over the years the business grew into

[14] warehouses, large and small, storage facilities. They

[15] might need handling goods, platform trucks to use the

[16] goods. We used to sell lumber trucks directly through

distributors to the lumber companies. We still have —

[18] I believe the lumber truck is in our catalog, that's

[19] how that product developed. It went into lumber

[20] stores, retail and wholesale.

Q: So in the '50s, just to reiterate, in the '50s

[22] it was factory? I'm trying to see +

A: I would say it started out that way. They were

[24] called industrial goods. When I hear the word

[25] "industrial," it's kind of a broad word today. We say

	——————————————————————————————————————
Page 1	
in two of them myself. I don't have an opportunity to	
the phone as I would like.	(1) Mitchell produced that today. Mr. Mlakar, I have put
<ul><li>Q: Do you remember the one or two that you may have</li><li>taken directly?</li></ul>	in front of you Exhibit R-17.  A: Yes.
in mach directly!	
A: Just the confusion. I can't specifically.	[4] <b>Q</b> : And that is the front cover of Metal Working [5] Digest.
© Q: I don't know what you mean when you say "just the confusion."	6 A: Um-hmm.
of the confusion.	
(a) A: Well, what I'm saying is they were asking for a	advertise in Metal Working
b) product and I'll say, yes, this is Grizzly but it's	[8] Digest, does it?
not the product that you're asking for And they are	A: At this point we do not have any ad in it.
wen, can you direct me to the product the dust	[10] Q: Has Pucel ever run an ad in Metal Working
[12] Collector?" And then I always left it at that and	[11] Digest?
[13] Said "No," but I never pursued it or went any further	A: Tony would have a better idea of that.
what are Sianor products?	(113) 4: Not to your knowledge?
A: They're light switch plates.	(14) A: We have publications we've been in similar to
[16] Q: Is Sianor a Pucel trademark?	ing this and I in not sure specifically it's Metal Working
[17] A: Yes.	Digest. I can't answer yes on that.
(18) <b>Q:</b> Is that a separate business of Pucel from	Q: Looking at Exhibit R-1, remember that list of —
is material nandling?	A. ICS.
A: No. It's all part of it, part of the company.	Q: Looking at that list, can you think of any
4: Are Stanor products in the Pucel catalog?	printed publication that Pucel has advertised in other
A: No. We have a separate catalog that we send for	than what's listed here?
of that,	A: I don't specifically sign the contracts so I
Q: And those are light switch plates?	less don't know all of them. If they are not here I would
s A: Um-hmm.	ited her aware or it.
_	[25] <b>Q</b> : Okay, I'm just asking you are you aware.
Page 107  Q: And you sell those under the Sianor trademark?	
Am I saying it right, Sianor?	[1] A: No, I'm not.
A: Yeah. You're right. Sianor.	[2] Q: You said you're not aware of any others that are
Q: Now, prior to the Internet the past few years,	[3] not listed here.
the focus of Pucel was on industrial users, correct?	[4] A: That's correct.
A: In years past.	[5] Q: Then I have at least one question for Tony.
Q: In years — prior to the time that you went on	[6]
the Internet.	(Exhibit Mlakar R-18 was
A: That's hard to say because we didn't see all the	[8] marked for identification.)
end users to that product.	[9]
	Q: To save some time, I'm going to mark a lot of
industrial users, wasn't it, prior to the Internet?	these catalogs that you brought and I just want you to
A: Industrial distributors we focused on, but like	say that you can identify them and that it contains or
TO TO LOCUSCU OII, DIII like	, and that it contains or

[7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] A: Industrial distributors we focused on, but like [13] [14] I said, a distributor could sell to anybody they want [15] within their region or their district in which they're [16] selling. Q: Isn't it your understanding though that they [18] typically sell to industrial users? A: I don't have control over that. That's not a [20] concern of mine. [21] [22] (Exhibit Mlakar R-17 was [23] marked for identification.) [24] Q: 17 does not have a document number because Mr. [25]

[12] say that you can identify them and that it contains or [13] doesn't contain an ad of yours. A: Okay. [14] Q: Unless you want me to ask the questions, but I [15] [16] would assume — [17] MR. MITCHELL: That's easier. You [18] can just give it to him, the same question. Q: There's a series here and I'm assuming some of [20] these are C&H, some are Global. I'd like to establish [21] that C&H is a distributor of yours — [22] A: Yes. Q: — of Pucel. Global is a distributor? [53] [24] A: Yes. Q: And that these are pages from current or recent

Page 76

[1] A: No.

[2] Q: Equipment buyers?

A: Not necessarily. [3]

Q: What's your focus today with the catalog? [4]

A: I like actual names. I prefer that method. I

6 don't like this method. So I -

Q: I'm not asking about the method on identifying a

[8] general foreman, but the names, what type of positions

(9) do the people hold that you're focusing sending the

[10] catalogs to? I'm asking are they equipment buyers of

[11] companies?

A: They could be. This is a little bit limiting. [12]

Q: Can you list who you like to send catalogs to? [13]

A: You might have people, but I prefer names in [14] [15] themselves.

Q: I understand the names. These people hold jobs. [16]

[17] A: Right.

Q: What jobs do they hold?

A: I don't necessarily know what jobs they have [19]

201 specifically. Might be an engineer, it could be a

[21] foreman. It could be - I mean, it could be those

[22] items, but not necessarily. This sort of puts people

[23] into just a general category, into a box, whereas I

[24] think today it's more open ended than something like

[25] this.

Page 75

Q: Who typically places orders from your larger [1]

A: It varies. We have a broad range of customers [4] anywhere from customers that have things in their home

[5] to people who have a smaller shop that just need a

[6] cart or a workbench in their facility. Although some

[7] of these might work, because even in a small place,

[8] many times they — if you notice on any type of

[9] literature that's sent out or advertising, in order to

[10] get a book, you have to say what you are. Well, you

[11] could be anything you want. You could have a

[12] three-person shop and you're the manager, you're the

[13] product manager. You could have — you know what I'm

[14] saying? It doesn't matter. These catalogs would be [15] distributed to anyone.

[16]

Q: But they're typically plants in industrial [17] settings?

A: Back then possibly. [18]

Q: Today for the most part isn't that the bulk of [19]

[20] your sales are to companies?

A: We sell to the company and the company has their [22] end user. Their end user could be anyone in their

[23] area. It could be someone off the street. It could

[24] be — I mean, they're going to sell to whoever they

want because some of the actual distributors have

Page 74

[1] retail stores where they have hand trucks of ours,

[2] carts. I mean they actually show them in their

s showroom so it could be anybody.

Q: So your products are shown in retail showrooms?

A: Some of them are, yes.

Q: Which showrooms?

A: I don't know all of them. [7]

Q: Do you know any of them? [8]

[9] A: Yes.

[10] Q: Can you name some?

A: Yes. Right down the street from us there's a [11]

[12] person who sells material handling and casters. They

[13] have ours in the front of their office, their showroom [14] and part of that is our equipment.

[15] Q: Any place outside of Cleveland?

A: Yes. On the West Coast there's a company that

[17] actually has our equipment in their window as well and [18] sells it on a regular basis.

Q: And what type of — is this a retail

[20] establishment —

[21] A: Yes.

[22] Q: - or warehouse?

What is the one in California?

A: What is it called? [24]

Q: Yeah. I mean, you talk about a showroom or a

Page 77

[1] store.

A: It's just — their company is called Black, Inc.

[3] I don't think it makes —

MR. MITCHELL: That's fine.

A: It's called Black, Inc. and they have a store [5]

[6] and actually is expanding into another retail store

7) where he has our stuff viewed in the storeroom so

[8] those are people off the street.

Q: And he sells material handling equipment?

A: Not necessarily. He does and he doesn't. He [10]

[11] sells our industrial equipment, but he's using it for [12] a different function. That's what I was saying that

[13] we have a broad range of customers. They're actually

[14] putting our units and using them on — some of his

[15] customers put their televisions on them because they

[16] want that industrial look.

Those other two companies I was referring to [17] [18] that are selling to the public, that's just a big

[19] thing in New York now. In fact, a lot of our

[20] equipment is even in showrooms in New York City. Very

[21] popular, [22]

Q: When you say "showrooms," are these street level [23] stores?

[24]

Q: And how are your products getting into those [25]